Deutsch Kaptur Kleczka Roukema Dickey Royce Klug Duncan Salmon Ehrlich LaTourette Sanders Sanford Lewis (GA) Ensign Fawell LoBiondo Scarborough Filner Lofgren Schaefer Schroeder Flanagan Luther Foley Maloney Schumer Forbes Manzullo Seastrand Frank (MA) Martini Sensenbrenner Shadegg Franks (NJ) McCarthy McDermott Funderburk Skaggs Slaughter Goodlatte McKinney Graham Meehan Smith (MI) Gutknecht Metcalf Smith (WA) Miller (CA) Souder Hastings (WA) Miller (FL) Stearns Minge Hayworth Stupak Myrick Talent Hefner Neumann Tate Tiahrt Herger Nev Hilleary Owens Wamp Hoekstra Parker Waters Hostettler Payne (NJ) Watt (NC) Hunter Peterson (MN) Watts (OK) Hutchinson Radanovich Weldon (FL) Ramstad Woolsey Inglis Istook Rivers Yates Jones Roemer Zimmer Kanjorski Rohrabacher

NOT VOTING-38

Andrews Furse Bachus Ganske Hastings (FL) Borski Bryant (TX) Hayes Crane Heineman Cubin Hvde Davis Jefferson Johnson, E. B. Dellums Dooley Johnston Largent Edwards Laughlin Fazio Levin

Fields (TX)

Mink Nethercutt Norwood Pastor Peterson (FL) Rangel Rose Shuster Stark Thompson White Wicker

□ 1900

McCrery

ROUKEMA and Mr. Mrs. CUNNINGHAM changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. BROWNBACK changed his vote

from "nay" to "yea."

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERMISSION FOR SPEAKER TO EN-TERTAIN CERTAIN MOTIONS TO SUSPEND RULES ON WEDNES-DAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1996

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that, notwithstanding clause 1 of Rule XXVII, the Speaker may entertain motions to suspend the rules and pass the following bills on Wednesday, September 18, 1996: H.R. 2594, H.R. 2940, H.R. 3923, H.R. 3348, H.R. 4040, S. 1995, and S. 1636.

These are the suspension bills that we were unable to finish earlier.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GOODLATTE). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

ELECTION OF MEMBERS TO COM-MITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS AND COMMITTEE ON VETERANS **AFFAIRS**

Mrs. KENNELLY. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Democratic Caucus, I

offer a privileged resolution (H. Res. 523) and ask for its immediate consider-

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 523

Resolved, That the following named Members be, and that they are hereby, elected to the following standing committees of the House of Representatives:

To the Committee on Small Business: Mr. BECERRA of California, Mr. CLYBURN of South Carolina, Ms. NORTON of the District of Columbia, and Ms. WATERS of California;

To the Committee on Veterans' Affairs: Mr. Peterson of Minnesota.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. Goss] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GOSS addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

TEENAGE DRUG USE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Texas [Ms. JACKSON-LEE] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I had the privilege in being home in the district to listen to the most important components of democracy and that is the people, the 18th Congressional District. We held a hearing in city council chambers in the 18th District on September 16 regarding the scourge of teenage drug use.

The enlightening fact that I think should be evidenced around the Nation is that no one with good common sense, no one running for office, seeking reelection, running for the first time, rises to any podium, takes any microphone, goes to any newspapers, stands before any audience and says, I am glad and I am enthusiastic about increased use of drugs by teenagers. So for us to make this a partisan issue during this election year makes us miss the point. The real issue is, how do we respond to our young people who have lost their way and begin to think that the frivolity of drug use is the way of the future?

I would offer to say to you that the hearing that we held in Houston, listening to the U.S. attorney for the southern district of Texas, the special agent in charge of the DEA, the FBI, the Harris County medical examiner, juvenile court judge and a myriad of community leaders and individuals who have hands-on experience with drug usage. First of all, they rebut and they clearly indicate that building more Federal prisons, giving political year gimmickry and loud talking will not be a solution. Housing juveniles with adults will not be a solution. Suggesting that you can single-handedly as a politician cut teenage drug use in half is not a solution.

What these individuals said, which was a directed comment on the fact that it does take a family, a community, a village, a State, a Nation to raise the future generation, was that parents must become more involved in the concept of moral leadership, indicating that it is not the right thing to do to experiment with drugs. I know there is a study that says that those parents who are of the baby boomer generation are a little bit intimidated. Well, a parent is a parent. I refuse to accept that.

As I listened to those who are on the battlefield on this issue, individuals who raise concerns about making sure that those who wanted to be treated for drug addiction could have treatment on demand, a reasoned response so that those drug addicts would not be lost, that would also provide parents with education to help them be able to teach their children against the evils of drugs but also the dangers of drugs, one thing that we have not done with the prevention programs dealing with drugs is to include the wide net of teachers and as well parents. That is an important issue.

We have not responded to those who have been rehabilitated to create jobs, but yet the Presidential candidate who is now running, who seeks the Presidency, believes that he can raise points and raise opportunity with political rhetoric of incarcerating those who might use drugs. This is not a political issue. It is an issue of family and children. It is an issue that needs a collective mind-set.

So I come to the floor of the House to say that I will be supporting legislation that encompasses parents in educational opportunities to encourage them and give them support and in giving their children the right instruction, teachers and schools. I will be supporting legislation and sponsoring legislation that says that the Federal Drug Forfeiture Asset Act should include more opportunity for its usage by taking some of those funds that are captured from those who sell drugs, the property of those who sell drugs, and provide those funds for AIDS research, for treatment and prevention of those using drugs.

We need to get down to the bottom line and the bottom line is that we do have a crisis in this Nation. I hope more of my colleagues will go home to their districts, listen to the people who are on the front line, listen to parents and teachers and, yes, listen to rehabilitated drug addicts who said to me last evening, I am prepared to work with you every step of the way. Provide us with jobs, give us treatment on